Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction

	DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN	District of NEW YORK
	ORDER AND AMENDED JUDGMENT
	REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
V.	
DAVID PARKER	Case Number: 5:05-cr-529-001 (DNH)
	USM Number: 13369-052
Date of Previous Judgment: <u>January 18, 2007</u>	Lisa A. Peebles, Esq.
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	Defendant's Attorney
reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a gu	areau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a mideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and ion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:	
	previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in
the last judgment issued) of <u>63</u> me	
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RAN	GE (Prior to Any Departures)
Previous Offense Level: 25	Amended Offense Level: 23
Criminal History Category: <u>III</u>	Criminal History Category: <u>III</u>
Previous Guideline Range: 70 to 87 months	Amended Guideline Range: 60 to 71 months
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDEL	INE RANGE
\square The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline ra	nge.
X The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less that	an the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of
sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction,	and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended
guideline range.	
☐ Other (explain):	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment da	ated January 18, 2007 shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
<u>April 25, 2008</u>	
Order Date	AM1//
	LV1. How
Effective Date (if different from order date)	David N. Hurd District Judge